

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Regulation of Arabidopsis defense responses against *Spodoptera littoralis* by CPK-mediated calcium signaling**

**This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/73900> since 2016-10-11T15:08:41Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1186/1471-2229-10-97

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

# Regulation of Arabidopsis defense responses against *Spodoptera littoralis* by CPK-mediated calcium signaling

Chidananda Nagamangala Kanchiswamy<sup>†1,3,4</sup>, Hirotaka Takahashi<sup>†2,5</sup>, Stefano Quadro<sup>6</sup>, Massimo E Maffei<sup>4</sup>, Simone Bossi<sup>4</sup>, Cinzia Berteà<sup>4</sup>, Simon Atsbahe Zebelo<sup>4</sup>, Atsushi Muroi<sup>1,3</sup>, Nobuaki Ishihama<sup>7</sup>, Hirofumi Yoshioka<sup>7</sup>, Wilhelm Boland<sup>6</sup>, Junji Takabayashi<sup>3</sup>, Yaeta Endo<sup>5</sup>, Tatsuya Sawasaki<sup>5</sup> and Gen-ichiro Arimura<sup>\*1,3</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Plant  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signals are involved in a wide array of intracellular signalling pathways after pest invasion.  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding sensory proteins such as  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent protein kinases (CPKs) have been predicted to mediate the signaling following  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  influx after insect herbivory. However, until now this prediction was not testable.

**Results:** To investigate the roles CPKs play in a herbivore response-signaling pathway, we screened the characteristics of Arabidopsis CPK mutants damaged by a feeding generalist herbivore, *Spodoptera littoralis*. Following insect attack, the *cpk3* and *cpk13* mutants showed lower transcript levels of plant defensin gene *PDF1.2* compared to wild-type plants. The CPK cascade was not directly linked to the herbivory-induced signaling pathways that were mediated by defense-related phytohormones such as jasmonic acid and ethylene. CPK3 was also suggested to be involved in a negative feedback regulation of the cytosolic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels after herbivory and wounding damage. *In vitro* kinase assays of CPK3 protein with a suite of substrates demonstrated that the protein phosphorylates transcription factors (including ERF1, HsfB2a and CZF1/ZFAR1) in the presence of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . CPK13 strongly phosphorylated only HsfB2a, irrespective of the presence of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . Furthermore, *in vivo* agroinfiltration assays showed that CPK3-or CPK13-derived phosphorylation of a heat shock factor (HsfB2a) promotes *PDF1.2* transcriptional activation in the defense response.

**Conclusions:** These results reveal the involvement of two Arabidopsis CPKs (CPK3 and CPK13) in the herbivory-induced signaling network via HsfB2a-mediated regulation of the defense-related transcriptional machinery. This cascade is not involved in the phytohormone-related signaling pathways, but rather directly impacts transcription factors for defense responses.

## Background

One of the significant factors determining successful plant growth and reproduction is an efficient defense against insect attacks. After herbivore feeding there is a dramatic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  influx limited to a few cell layers lining the damage zone [1,2]. Signals induced rapidly by herbivore attack have been found to spread over the leaf, leading to a strong  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent transmembrane potential ( $V_m$ ) depolarization in the damage zone followed by a transient

$V_m$  hyperpolarization in the close vicinity and a constant depolarization at distances greater than 6-7 mm [1]. These initial cues are transmitted within the plant by signal transduction pathways that include phosphorylation cascades, such as mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases, and the jasmonic acid (JA) pathway, which play a central and conserved role in promoting resistance to a broad spectrum of insects [3]. However, there is a missing link to downstream signaling and gene regulation for defense responses. In this network,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding sensory proteins are of particular interest, since following  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  influx the sensory proteins may secondarily decode information contained in the temporal and spatial patterns of

\* Correspondence: garimura@ecology.kyoto-u.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup> Global COE Program: Evolution and Biodiversity, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

<sup>†</sup> Contributed equally

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

the signal trafficking to control metabolism and gene expression [4].


Plants possess several classes of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding sensory proteins, including calmodulins, calmodulin-like proteins, calcineurin B-like proteins, and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent protein kinases (CPKs) [4]. The CPKs are of special interest, since they represent a novel class of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sensors, having both a protein kinase domain and a calmodulin-like domain (including an EF-hand calcium-binding site) in a single polypeptide [5,6]. CPKs constitute a large family of serine/threonine protein kinases that are broadly distributed in the plant kingdom. For example, the Arabidopsis genome is predicted to have 34 different CPKs [7]. Arabidopsis CPK1 was the first CPK to be characterized, and is known to be activated by phospholipids and 14-3-3 proteins, which are small, highly conserved eukaryotic proteins that regulate multiple cellular enzymes, including protein kinases [8]. AtCPKs 3, 4, 6, 11 and 32 act as abscisic acid (ABA) signaling components, and are involved in ABA-responsive gene expression, seed germination, seedling growth, and stomatal movement [9-11]. Especially, AtCPKs 4, 11 and 32 are likely to interact with ABA-related leucine zipper class transcription factors [10,11], indicating the proximate involvement of CPKs in transcriptional regulation.

Curiously, little attention has been given to the role of CPKs in defense responses. Only one case has been reported: in tobacco, NtCDPK2 modulates the activation of stress-induced MAP kinases, and this interaction requires the synthesis and perception of wound hormones [12]. The role of CPKs involved in the defense response against insect herbivory has never been reported. In this report, we show the involvement of two Arabidopsis CPKs (CPK 3 and CPK 13) in the herbivory-induced signaling network via post-translational regulation of the defense-related transcriptional machinery. Implications for possible signal trafficking via CPKs are discussed.

## Results

### *cpk3* and *cpk13* mutants showed decreased transcript levels of defense genes in *S. littoralis*-damaged leaves

We obtained 19 T-DNA insertion mutant lines that were putative AtCPK-deficient mutants from the European Arabidopsis Stock Centre. Homozygous T-DNA insertion lines corresponding to each CPK gene were confirmed using the PCR method (data not shown) and challenged with herbivore damage. Transcript levels of plant defensin gene *PDF1.2*, which is induced in wild-type (WT) leaves exposed to *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae for 24 h, were investigated in the mutant leaves (Figure 1). Compared to *S. littoralis*-damaged WT leaves, T-DNA insertion line *cpk3* (Salk\_022862) and two different *cpk13* lines (*cpk13-1* (Salk\_057893) and *cpk13-2* (Salk\_135795))




**Figure 1 Comparison of the transcript levels of plant defensin gene *PDF1.2* between *S. littoralis*-infested leaves of WT and CPK T-DNA insertion mutants.** Transcript levels of *PDF1.2* in mutant leaves (gray columns) were normalized by those of *ACT1* measured in the same samples and expressed relative to the normalized transcript levels in leaves of infested WT plants (filled columns). An asterisk (\*) indicates that mutants were significantly different from WT leaves ( $P < 0.05$ ). WT, wild-type; *cpk2*, Salk\_131765; *cpk3*, Salk\_022862; *cpk5*, Salk\_138808; *cpk7*, Salk\_035601; *cpk8*, Salk\_036581; *cpk9*, Salk\_034324; *cpk10*, Salk\_105108; *cpk11*, Salk\_023086; *cpk13-1*, Salk\_057893; *cpk13-2*, Salk\_135795; *cpk16*, Salk\_020716; *cpk17-1*, Salk\_140527; *cpk17-2*, Salk\_057146; *cpk18*, Salk\_061352; *cpk19*, Salk\_057587; *cpk20*, Salk\_073448; *cpk21*, Salk\_029412; *cpk22*, Salk\_125850; *cpk33*, Salk\_059467.

showed significantly lower transcript levels in *S. littoralis*-damaged leaves. The molecular analysis of CPK T-DNA insertion mutants and gene expression profiling of the mutants are shown in Additional file 1. In addition to our study of *PDF1.2*, the time-courses of the transcript levels of two other defense-related genes (*Thi2.1* and *VSP2*) after herbivore attack were examined in *cpk3*, *cpk13-1*, and *cpk13-2* leaves and compared to those in WT leaves (Figure 2). The transcript level of *Thi2.1* in WT leaves was increased about 22 fold after 6 h but declined after 24 h. The *cpk3* mutant showed a comparable level of the *Thi2.1* expression during the time course, whereas the transcript levels in *cpk13-1* and *cpk13-2* leaves remained unchanged at 6 h, but increased after 24 h. Thus, WT and *cpk13* probably have different temporal regulation. Throughout the time-course, the induced transcript levels of *VSP2* were comparable between the WT and all the mutants (Figure 2).

### Possible involvement of phytohormone signaling in the herbivory-related CPK cascades

To assess whether loss of CPK function affects the signal transduction involved in the defense response, we explored the biosynthesis of JA, jasmonyl-L-isoleucine (JA-Ile, an active form of JA [13]), ethylene, and abscisic acid (ABA, known to be involved in protective wound-healing processes [14]). As shown in Figure 3, all the phytohormones examined in this study were formed and accumulated at a similar rate in the infested WT, com-




**Figure 2** Relative mRNA levels of defense-related genes *PDF1.2*, *Thi2.1* and *VSP2* in *S. littoralis*-infested leaves. Transcript levels of genes in WT, *cpk3*, *cpk13-1* and *cpk13-2* leaves were normalized by those of *ACT1* measured in the samples and expressed relative to the normalized transcript levels in the leaves of uninfested WT plants. Means followed by different small letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )

pared to the *cpk* mutant leaves (Figure 3), indicating that CPK3 and CPK13 are not upstream signal kinases for the biosynthesis of JA, ABA and ethylene. We also investigated the *PDF1.2* expression levels in WT and in *cpk3* and *cpk13* mutants by applying an exogenous solution of either JA, ethephon (a chemical which releases ethylene), or ABA (Figure 4). Compared to the control, after 6 h the transcript levels of *PDF1.2* were very slightly induced by JA or ABA to levels comparable to those in WT and mutant leaves. Ethephon treatment resulted in drastic but comparable increases of the transcript in both WT and mutant leaves. We therefore conclude that the CPK3 and CPK13 cascades are not closely linked to the above phytohormone signaling and biosynthesis cascades.


#### Increased intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ levels in *cpk3* leaf cells after herbivore and mechanical damage

It is interesting to note that the *cpk3* mutant showed abnormal changes of the cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  level after insect damage. As shown in Figure 5, when the membrane-permeable Fluo-3 AM [ $Ca^{2+}$ -sensitive fluorescent probe] was




**Figure 3** Levels of JA, JA-Ile, ABA and ethylene in WT, *cpk3*, and *cpk13-1* leaves fed on by *S. littoralis* larvae for 6 and 24 h.

applied to WT leaf tissues, it showed a cytoplasmic sub-cellular localization at sites damaged by *S. littoralis* (Fig-




**Figure 4** Effects of loss of CPK function on signaling pathways for defense response. Effect of exogenous application of JA, ABA or ethephon (an ethylene releasing chemical) on *PDF1.2* expression levels after 6 h was investigated. Transcript levels of *PDF1.2* in WT, *cpk3*, and *cpk13-1* leaves were expressed relative to the normalized transcript levels in leaves of mock-treated (water-treated or buffer-treated) wild-type plants. Significant differences between treatments were not observed




ure 5A) or after mechanical wounding (Figure 5B). *cpk3* but not *cpk13-1* plants showed a more marked increase of the cytosolic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  level after the damage, compared to WT.

#### Substrate targeting of CPK3 and CPK13

In previous studies, CPK3 was found to be localized in the nucleus and the cytosol [15]. In this study, we additionally tested the subcellular localization of CPK13-GFP fusion proteins in transiently expressing onion peels, which revealed nuclear, cytosolic and plasma membrane



localizations of the fusion proteins (Figure 6). Accordingly, in order to screen the protein target of CPK3 and CPK13, protein kinase assays with 100 nuclear and cytosolic protein substrates synthesized using the wheat germ cell-free system (see Additional file 2) were carried out. CPK3 or CPK13 proteins purified from a cell-free extract were incubated with radiolabeled ATP,  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and the 100 distinct substrates. Both CPK3 and CPK13 showed auto-phosphorylation in the presence of radiolabeled ATP and  $\text{CaCl}_2$  (Figure 7A). Notably, CPK3 was auto-phosphorylated along with increased concentration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , whereas CPK13 was not, indicating that CPK3 was strictly  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent. Auto-phosphorylation of CPK stringently reflects the intensity of the phosphorylation of substrate targets [16]. As shown in Figure 7B and Additional file 3, CPK3 phosphorylated three transcription factors (TFs) [JA/ethylene-inducible APE/ERF domain transcription factor 1 (ERF1) [17], heat shock factor HsfB2a (Hsf22), and the wound-inducible CZF1/ZFAR1 transcription factor [18]]. ATL2, a member of a multigene family of highly related RING-H2 zinc finger proteins that function as E3 ubiquitin ligases [19,20] and a potent regulator of *PDF1.2* transcription [21], was also phosphorylated by CPK3 (discussed in Additional file 4). Addition of BAPTA, a cal-



cium chelator, to the reaction mixture abolished the phosphorylation by CPK3, suggesting that these phosphorylations were strictly  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent. This result was in line with the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent auto-phosphorylation of CPK3, described above. In contrast, the CPK13-derived protein labeling (auto-phosphorylation) was scarcely activated by the addition of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ion (Figure 7A), suggesting that CPK13 has very high sensitivity for auto-phosphorylation, like soybean CDPK $\alpha$  [22]. CPK13 strongly phosphorylated HsfB2a, irrespective of the presence of BAPTA.


Although it has been claimed that MYC2 (At1g32640) is also involved in *PDF1.2* regulation [23], this transcription factor was not phosphorylated by either CPK3 or CPK13 (Figure 7B).

#### HsfB2a takes part in the regulation of the herbivore-induced transcription of *PDF1.2*

We investigated the *PDF1.2* expression levels in *S. littoralis*-damaged leaves of Arabidopsis WT and HsfB2a T-DNA insertion mutants (Salk\_027578) (Figure 8A). Compared to *S. littoralis*-damaged WT leaves, *hsfB2a* plants showed significantly lower transcript levels in *S. littoralis*-damaged leaves. Thus, HsfB2a appeared to be a positive regulator of herbivore-induced *PDF1.2* expression. In addition, to investigate the *in vivo* function of CPKs, a constitutively active form of CPK and HsfB2a were co-expressed as cofactors for the transient expression of a reporter (GUS) gene under the control of the *PDF1.2* promoter in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves, in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transient expression (agroinfiltration) assays. For these assays, we prepared a constitutively active form of CPK proteins which lacks junction and calmodulin-like domains and thus no longer shows  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  dependency. As shown in Figure 8B, the reporter gene activity was drastically increased when co-expressed with HsfB2a as effector. The activation by HsfB2a was further enhanced (6.6- and 1.9-fold) when CPK3 and CPK13 were co-expressed, respectively. However, when CPK3 or CPK13 was co-expressed in the absence of HsfB2a, scarcely any increase of the expression of the reporter gene was observed. Similarly, other CPK substrate transcription factors (ERF1 or CZF1/ZFAR1) were co-expressed as effectors, but neither of them resulted in significant transactivation of the GUS marker gene (data not shown).

#### Discussion

Regulation of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  homeostasis is important, particularly when  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is involved as a signaling ion. In plant cells,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -binding proteins also serve as regulators of internal free  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels. Protein phosphorylation is the most common type of post-translational modification, and functions through phosphorylation-induced conforma-



**Figure 8 Involvement of CPK3, CPK13 and HsfB2a in herbivore-induced *PDF1.2* regulation.** A. Transcript levels of *PDF1.2* in WT and *hsfB2a* mutant Arabidopsis leaves fed on by *S. littoralis* larvae for 24 h. An asterisk (\*) indicates that mutants were significantly different from WT ( $P < 0.05$ ). B. *PDF1.2* promoter activity in *N. benthamiana* leaves co-expressing CPK and HsfB2a. The 1-kb *PDF1.2* promoter region was fused to a GUS reporter gene including the intron. Transient activation of the reporter gene according to co-expressed effector(s), HsfB2a, or truncated variant of CPK3 or CPK13, in *N. benthamiana* leaves was assessed. *Agrobacterium*, carrying 35S promoter::LUC including intron, was used to normalize for the efficiency of agroinfiltration. Means followed by different small letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

tional changes [24]. Since CPKs may be involved in the specificity and cross-talk of signal transduction for a variety of biotic and abiotic stresses, their possible involvement in active signaling cascades in herbivore responses needs to be investigated [6]. The present study provides a new view of a signaling network for plant-insect interactions. This cascade is not involved in the phytohormone (JA, ethylene and ABA)-related signaling pathways, but rather is able to directly impact transcription factors for defense responses. In fact, we did not observe striking effects of loss of CPK function on the biomass of *S. littoralis* larvae by feeding *cpk3* or *cpk13* mutants compared to WT plants for up to 3 days (Additional file 5). This suggests that more genes than just *PDF1.2* contribute in a

complex manner to the onset of acquired resistance to the generalist herbivore *S. littoralis*. For instance, compared to the levels in WT leaves infested by *S. littoralis*, the leaf transcript levels of *Thi2.1* were higher in *cpk13* after 24 h but lower after 6 h (Figure 2).

Herbivory responses in *Arabidopsis* may not be mediated strikingly by the ABA signaling network (Figures 3 and 4). In guard cells, CPK3 appears to act in the phosphorylation of plasma membrane S-type anion channels for the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -reactive stomatal closure response [9]. Our data show that a loss of CPK3 function may consequently lead to an increase of cytosolic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration in the infested leaf cells (Figure 5). If we consider that ABA activation of plasma membrane  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -permeable channels is, in contrast, impaired in *cpk3* or double *cpk3cpk6* mutant guard cells [9], we can argue that mesophyll cells, which are the most responsive to herbivore attack, respond in a different way compared to guard cells. In summary, the present findings are consistent with a model in which additional signaling branches function in the herbivory signal transduction network in parallel to CPK3-imposed feedback regulation of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channels, and these additional branches are different from those of the stomatal closure response controlled via ABA signaling. In contrast to CPK3, CPK13 does not act upstream of herbivore-stimulated  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  transients (Figure 5).

Screening of the databases for *cis*-acting regulatory DNA elements revealed the presence of a GCC box ((A)GCCGCC [25]) in the *PDF1.2* promoter that is potentially recognized by ERF1, which is one of the CPK3 substrates (Figure 7) and a potent regulator of *PDF1.2* [26]. However, our agroinfiltration assays showed that ERF1 as a cofactor failed to transactivate the reporter GUS gene under the control of the GCC consensus sequence (fused to a minimum TATA box) and the *PDF1.2* promoter in *N. benthamiana* (data not shown). We therefore infer that ERF1 may interact only indirectly with the *PDF1.2* promoter, similarly to MYC2 [27]. Otherwise, additional *cis/trans*-factors or protein modifications might be required to fully facilitate this hetero *planta* system.

According to our agroinfiltration assays, CPK3-or CPK13-derived phosphorylation(s) of a heat shock factor (HsfB2a) appeared to be directly and positively involved in *PDF1.2* transcriptional regulation (Figure 8B). Heat shock factors (Hsfs) are well known to function in the regulation of stress-inducible genes (e.g., *Hsp*) by recognizing a conserved binding motif (heat shock element [HSE]: three inverted repeats of nGAAn units [28,29]). However, the *PDF1.2* promoter lacks intact HSE motifs. It is therefore considered that HsfB2a can act as part of the transcriptional machinery of *PDF1.2* transcription by directly binding to non-HSE sequence(s) present in the promoter, and then CPK3 and CPK13 phosphorylate

HsfB2a to modulate its activity. Similarly, Kumar et al. [30] reported that double knockout *hsfb1/hsfb2b* plants showed up-regulation of the basal mRNA-levels of *PDF1.2* in mutant plants. Therefore, it will be of great interest to identify a novel non-HSE DNA recognition site for the class B-Hsf transcription factors.

HsfB2a belongs to the Hsf class B transcription factors (B-Hsfs). However, the function of class B-Hsfs differs from that of class A-Hsfs due to a structural variation within the oligomerization domain and the lack of an AHA-motif, which is required for the transcriptional activation function of class A-Hsfs [31]. Since B-Hsfs have the capacity to bind to similar or the same HSE sites in the heat shock gene promoters as class A-Hsfs, most of them may act as repressors of target gene expression [32,33]. If class B-Hsfs generally antagonistically interact with A-Hsfs by binding (or competing for binding) to the HSE consensus sequence, their regulatory mechanisms would in most cases be different from the positive regulation of the herbivore-induced *PDF1.2* promoter lacking intact HSE motifs. A-Hsfs should be investigated as possible additional cofactors in further studies.

## Conclusions

These results reveal the involvement of two *Arabidopsis* CPKs (CPK3 and CPK13) in the herbivory-induced signaling network via HsfB2a-mediated regulation of the defense-related transcriptional machinery. To reveal whether protein phosphorylation has significant effects on the transcript levels in response to feeding by caterpillars, future studies such as genetic analyses investigating double mutants defective for both CPKs and substrates or plants overexpressing those genes will be needed.

## Methods

### Plants, caterpillars, and treatments

*Arabidopsis* plants (Col-0) were grown in soil. Individual plants were grown in plastic pots in a growth chamber at 22°C ( $160 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  during a 12-h photoperiod) for 5 weeks. Larvae of *S. littoralis* Boisd. (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) were reared on artificial diet [34] in a plastic box ( $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ; 14 h light: 10 h dark). For the herbivory treatments, three second- and third-instar larvae were placed on leaves of an *Arabidopsis* plant (non bolting). For chemical treatment, (+)-jasmonic acid or abscisic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) at a concentration of 0.3 mM or 50  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively, in aqueous solution, or ethephon (0.3 mM, Sigma-Aldrich) at a concentration of 3 mM in sodium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH7), was evenly sprayed (about 3 ml) onto intact plants.

### Genetic analysis

The homozygous T-DNA lines were screened using two PCR tests <http://signal.salk.edu/tdnaprimers.html> using

either a pair of primers consisting of a T-DNA left border primer (LBa1) and a specific primer for the corresponding T-DNA insertion region or a pair of gene-specific primers which straddle the outer T-DNA flanking regions. Genomic DNAs were isolated from the leaves following the CTAB method [35], and were used as the template for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) following the method described in: <http://signal.salk.edu/tdnaprimers.2.html>. These PCR analyses were performed at least twice through the two generations along T3 or T4 to confirm whether the lines are certainly homozygous.

#### Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from leaf tissues using a Qiagen RNeasy Plant RNA kit and an RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript II RT, oligo(dT)<sub>12-18</sub> primer, and 1 µg of total RNA at 42°C for 50 min. The real-time PCR was done on an Mx3000 P Real-Time PCR System (Stratagene) [36]. PCR conditions were chosen by comparing threshold values in a dilution series of the RT product, followed by non-RT template control and non-template control for each primer pair. Relative RNA levels were calibrated and normalized with the level of ACT1 (At2G37620) mRNA.

#### Quantification of JA, JA-Ile, ABA and ethylene

Leaves (200 mg) were harvested in FastPrep tubes containing 0.9 g of FastPrep matrix (BIO 101, Vista, CA), flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until use. Ethyl acetate (1 ml), spiked with 200 ng each of internal standards <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>-JA, <sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>-JA-Ile and <sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>-ABA, was added to each sample and then the mixture was homogenized using a FastPrep homogenizer (Savant Instruments, Holbrook, NY). After centrifugation at 12,000 g for 20 min at 4°C, supernatants were transferred to Eppendorf tubes. Each pellet was re-extracted with 1 ml of ethyl acetate and centrifuged; supernatants were combined and then evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The residue was resuspended in 0.5 ml of 70% methanol/water (v/v) and centrifuged to clarify phases, and the supernatants were analyzed using a 1200 L LC/MS system (Varian, Palo Alto, CA) as described in [37].

Ethylene production was measured in real-time with a photoacoustic laser spectrometer (ETH-PAC1-TR, <http://www.invivo-gmbh.de>, Germany) in combination with a gas multiplexer (4 channels) [38], in which an Arabidopsis plant in a pot was infested with larvae for up to 24 h.

#### Intracellular calcium concentration measurement

A solution of Fluo-3 AM (acetoxymethyl ester of Fluo-3, 5 µM, Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), 0.5 mM calcium sulphate, and 2.5 µM DCMU [3-(3',4'-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea] in 50 mM MES buffer, pH 6.0, was used for

initial treatment of leaves of an intact Arabidopsis plant as previously described [39]. A leaf was cut once with a razor blade in order to allow the dye to enter the tissues. One hour after treatment with Fluo-3 AM, the leaf was fixed on an Olympus FLUOview confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) stage without detaching it from the plant. The microscope was operated with a krypton/argon laser at 488 nm and 568 nm wavelengths: the first wavelength excited the Fluo-3 dye emitting green light, while the second excited mostly chloroplasts emitting red fluorescence. Images generated using FluoView software were analyzed with NIH Image J software. Earlier microscopic analysis showed the false-color subcellular localization of the dyes, which indicated that the dyes are loaded mainly into the cytosol [40].

#### Vector construction and transient expression of GFP fusion proteins

Gateway Technology (Invitrogen) was used for the generation of p2GWF7 transformation constructs, which consisted of a target gene (CPK13 ORF cDNA) bearing an N-terminal fusion to eGFP under the control of the dual Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S promoter for plant transformations [41]. The attB adaptor-bearing PCR primers (see Additional file 6) were designed for the generation of attB PCR products for recombination with the donor vector pDONRzeo via BP Clonase reactions (Invitrogen). Fully sequenced entry clones were recombined in LR Clonase reactions with the p2GWF7 vector [41]. One microgram of the plasmid was precipitated onto 1.0-µm spherical gold particles (Bio-Rad). Onion peels were bombarded using a particle gun PDS-1000/He (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 24 h, GFP fluorescence of the onion peel was observed under a BX51 fluorescent microscope (OLYMPUS).

#### Transcription and cell-free protein synthesis

In order to prepare recombinant proteins fused with GST or a biotin ligase recognition site at their N-terminus, Riken Arabidopsis full-length cDNA clones (RAFL) were used. The DNA constructs were made, according to [42], by two rounds of "Split-Primer" PCR, with the first PCR performed with a target protein-specific primer (5'-CCACCCACCACCACCAatgnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn-3'; lowercase indicates the 5'-coding region of the target gene) and the AODA2306 primer. The second PCR was performed with an SPu primer, AODA2303 primer, and a deSP6E02bbs-S1 primer, which contains a biotin ligase recognition site sequence (for the CPK substrates). For the CPK-GST fusion proteins, a full-length ORF was reinserted into pEU-E01-GST-TEV-MCS vector (Cell-free Sciences, Yokohama, Japan) and used for *in vitro* transcription. *In vitro* transcription, cell-free protein syn-



thesis, and protein purification were performed as described [42,43].

#### Auto-phosphorylation reaction of recombinant CPK

Crude GST-tagged recombinant CPK protein (20–40 µg) produced by the dialysis method [44] was precipitated with glutathione Sepharose™ 4B (GE Healthcare). The protein on the Sepharose was washed twice with PBS buffer and then treated with PBS buffer containing 2.5 mM 1,2-bis-(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-*N,N,N',N'*-tetra acetic acid (BAPTA, Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C for 10 min, to remove free Ca<sup>2+</sup>. After washing with PBS buffer, the recombinant CPK was eluted with 45 µl of PBS buffer containing 0.1 U of AcTEV protease (Invitrogen), which cleaved the CPK from the GST-tag. Autophosphorylation reactions were carried out in 10 µl of total reaction mixture containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 µl of partially purified CPK, 0 to 500 µM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM potassium acetate, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM DTT and 37 kBq of [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P] ATP at 30°C for 30 min. To stop the reaction, 5 µl of 3x-sample buffer [150 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 6% SDS, 3% 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.012% bromophenol blue] was added to the reaction mixture. After boiling the reaction mixture, recombinant CPK was separated by 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). The labeled signals were detected with BAS-2500 (FUJIFILM, Japan).

#### In vitro phosphorylation of target protein

*In vitro* phosphorylation of target was carried out according to a previously described method with a minor modification [44], using partially purified recombinant CPK and substrate. Partially purified GST-tagged recombinant CPK was prepared as described above, but excluding BAPTA from the PBS buffer. Forty microliters of each crude biotinylated substrate protein produced by the bilayer method [43] were attached to Streptavidin Magnetic Paramagnetic particles (Promega). After washing, the substrate protein on the particles (1–2 µg) was incubated in 15 µl of reaction mixture containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 µl of purified CPK, 100 µM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 500 mM potassium acetate, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM DTT and 37 kBq of [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P] ATP, in the presence or absence of 500 µM BAPTA at 30°C for 30 min. Following the reaction, the beads were washed twice with PBS, then boiled in sample buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, 1% 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.004% bromophenol blue]. For the detection method, see above.

#### *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transient expression (agroinfiltration) in *Nicotiana benthamiana*

A cDNA fragment of a truncated variant lacking junction and calmodulin-like domains was cloned into the pER8 (XVE) binary vector [45]. The full-length coding region of *HsfB2a* was inserted into the GUS reporter gene site of

the binary vector pGreen 0229 (35S promoter::GUS including intron) [46]. The 1-kb *PDF1.2* promoter region upstream of the transcription start site was inserted into the 35S promoter region of the above pGreen-GUS vector.

*Agroinfiltration* was carried out according to a modified protocol from Kobayashi et al. (2007) [47]. Binary plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101, which contains the transformation helper plasmid pSoup [46], and the bacteria were cultured overnight. The culture was diluted 10-fold in Luria-Bertani medium/rifampicin with kanamycin or spectinomycin, and then was cultured until OD<sub>600</sub> 0.6. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 10 mM MES-NaOH, pH 5.6 and 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The bacterial suspensions were adjusted to OD<sub>600</sub> 0.5, and then aceto-syringone was added to a final concentration of 150 µM. The suspensions were incubated for 2–4 h at 22°C, and a mixture of those carrying CPK, HsfB2a, luciferase (LUC, see below) and *PDF1.2* promoter::GUS vectors at an approximate ratio (1:1:1:3) was infiltrated into leaves of 4- to 5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants by using a needleless syringe. One day after bacterial infiltration,  $\beta$ -estradiol (10 µM) was infiltrated into the same position of the leaf to induce the expression of a chimeric transcription activator XVE gene [45], and the plant was kept for 1 day. We then prepared an enzyme extract by homogenization of a leaf with a grinding buffer containing 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.8), 1 mM EDTA, 7 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1% Triton X-100 and 10% glycerol, followed by centrifugation. GUS activity was measured by monitoring cleavage of the  $\beta$ -glucuronidase substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl  $\beta$ -D-glucuronide (MUG) [48]. *Agrobacterium* carrying pGreen 0229 (35S promoter::LUC including intron) was used to normalize for the efficiency of agroinfiltration. The luciferase activity in protein extracts was measured using a PicaGene luciferase kit (Toyo Ink, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### Data and statistical analysis

At least five repetitions with individual biological sample sets were used for the statistical treatment of the data. The data are expressed as mean values; error bars indicate the standard error. To evaluate the significance of differences of data, ANOVA followed by Fisher's PLSD test was performed.

#### Data and statistical analysis

At least five repetitions with individual biological sample sets were used for the statistical treatment of the data. The data are expressed as mean values; error bars indicate the standard error. To evaluate the significance of differences of data, ANOVA followed by Fisher's PLSD test was performed.

## Additional material

**Additional file 1 Molecular analysis of CPK T-DNA insertion mutants and gene expression profiling in the mutants.** A, Disruption of CPK mRNA expression in leaves of the respective *cpk* mutants and the Col-0 wild-type (WT). None of the mutant CPK genes was expressed in the corresponding mutant leaves under the growth conditions, whereas all of them were expressed in WT leaves. B, T-DNA insertion site in *cpk3* (Salk\_022862), *cpk13-1* (Salk\_057893) and *cpk13-2* (Salk\_135795). PCR was performed with a primer pair consisting of a left border primer of the T-DNA and a gene-specific primer, and PCR products were sequenced to determine the T-DNA insertion positions (solid lines). ATG and TGA/TAG indicate start and stop codons. White boxes indicate exons. The T-DNA inserts in *cpk3* and *cpk13-1* are located in the first exon, while the insertion in *cpk13-2* is located in the promoter region upstream of the *CPK13* gene. Note that Southern blot analyses of homozygous plants showed only a single T-DNA insertion in all four mutants (data not shown).

**Additional file 2 Substrate targeting for CPKs.** A table listing protein substrates synthesized using the wheat germ cell-free system.

**Additional file 3 Substrate targeting of CPK3 and CPK13.** The quantitative values for data in Figure 7B are shown.

**Additional file 4 Supplemental discussion.** Implications for possible involvement of ubiquitination in the CPK signaling pathway are discussed.

**Additional file 5 Growth of *S. littoralis* larvae on a WT, *cpk3* and *cpk13-1* plant.** Freshly hatched *S. littoralis* larvae were grown on artificial diet. The second instar of the larva was subjected to growth on a WT, *cpk3* and *cpk13-1* plant in a pot at 25°C. The larva was allowed to feed for up to 3 days, and its biomass was recorded every 24 h.

**Additional file 6 Primers used for this study.** A table listing primers used for this study.

## Authors' contributions

CNK carried out all the biological and genetic analyses. HT and AM carried out cell-free protein synthesis and *in vitro* phosphorylation assays and participated in writing the methods section. SQ, CB and SAZ performed the RT-PCR and real-time PCR experiments. MEM and SB carried out calcium measurement. NI and HY helped with agroinfiltration assays and participated in writing the methods section. WB, JT and YE participated in the coordination of the work. TS and GA conceived the intellectual design of the project and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Kazuo Shinozaki and Dr. Motoaki Seki for the cDNA library, Dr. Koichi Ueda for GFP analysis, and Dr. Cbgowda Rayapuram, Ms. Eva Rothe, Dr. Ian T. Baldwin and Dr. Ralph Gähler for phytohormone analysis. This work was financially supported in part by Global COE Program A06 of Kyoto University; a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (No. 21770042) to GA; and the Doctorate School of Science and High Technology of the University of Turin (to MEM).

## Author Details

<sup>1</sup>Global COE Program: Evolution and Biodiversity, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Current Address: Department of Microbiology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117597, Singapore, <sup>3</sup>Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Otsu 520-2113, Japan, <sup>4</sup>Plant Physiology Unit, Department of Plant Biology and Innovation Centre, University of Turin, 10135 Turin, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Cell-free Science and Technology Research Center, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan, <sup>6</sup>Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, 07745, Germany and <sup>7</sup>Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

Received: 7 January 2010 Accepted: 26 May 2010

Published: 26 May 2010

## References

- Maffei ME, Mithofer A, Boland W: Insects feeding on plants: Rapid signals and responses preceding the induction of phytochemical release. *Phytochemistry* 2007, **68**:2946-2959.
- Maffei ME, Mithofer A, Boland W: Before gene expression: early events in plant-insect interaction. *Trends Plant Sci* 2007, **12**:310-316.
- Howe GA, Jander G: Plant Immunity to Insect Herbivores. *Ann Rev Plant Biol* 2008, **59**:41-66.
- Sanders D, Pelloux J, Brownlee C, Harper JF: Calcium at the crossroads of signaling. *Plant Cell* 2002, **14**(Suppl):S401-417.
- Klimecka M, Muszyńska G: Structure and functions of plant calcium-dependent protein kinases. *Acta Biochim Pol* 2007, **54**:219-233.
- Ludwig AA, Romeis T, Jones JD: CDPK-mediated signalling pathways: specificity and cross-talk. *J Exp Bot* 2004, **55**:181-188.
- Cheng SH, Willmann MR, Chen HC, Sheen J: Calcium signaling through protein kinases. The Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinase gene family. *Plant Physiol* 2002, **129**:469-485.
- Camoni L, Harper JF, Palmgren MG: 14-3-3 proteins activate a plant calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK). *FEBS Lett* 1998, **430**:381-384.
- Mori IC, Murata Y, Yang Y, Munemasa S, Wang YF, Andreoli S, Tiriach H, Alonso JM, Harper JF, Ecker JR, Kwak JM, Schroeder JI: CDPKs CPK6 and CPK3 function in ABA regulation of guard cell S-type anion- and Ca(2+)-permeable channels and stomatal closure. *PLoS Biol* 2006, **4**:e327.
- Choi HI, Park HJ, Park JH, Kim S, Im MY, Seo HH, Kim YW, Hwang I, Kim SY: Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinase AtCPK32 interacts with ABF4, a transcriptional regulator of abscisic acid-responsive gene expression, and modulates its activity. *Plant Physiol* 2005, **139**:1750-1761.
- Zhu SY, Yu XC, Wang XJ, Zhao R, Li Y, Fan RC, Shang Y, Du SY, Wang XF, Wu FQ, Xu YH, Zhang XY, Zhang DP: Two calcium-dependent protein kinases, CPK4 and CPK11, regulate abscisic acid signal transduction in. *Plant Cell* 2007, **19**:3019-3036.
- Ludwig AA, Saitoh H, Felix G, Freymark G, Miersch O, Wasternack C, Boller T, Jones JD, Romeis T: Ethylene-mediated cross-talk between calcium-dependent protein kinase and MAPK signaling controls stress responses in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:10736-10741.
- Staswick PE, Tiryaki I: The oxylipin signal jasmonic acid is activated by an enzyme that conjugates it to isoleucine in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2004, **16**:2117-2127.
- Lulai EC, Suttle JC, Pederson SM: Regulatory involvement of abscisic acid in potato tuber wound-healing. *J Exp Bot* 2008, **59**:1175-1186.
- Dammann C, Ichida A, Hong B, Romanowsky SM, Hrabak EM, Harmon AC, Pickard BG, Harper JF: Subcellular targeting of nine calcium-dependent protein kinase isoforms from Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 2003, **132**:1840-1848.
- Chaudhuri S, Seal A, DasGupta M: Autophosphorylation-dependent activation of a calcium-dependent protein kinase from groundnut. *Plant Physiol* 1999, **120**:859-866.
- Lorenzo O, Solano R: Molecular players regulating the jasmonate signalling network. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2005, **8**:532-540.
- Cheong YH, Chang HS, Gupta R, Wang X, Zhu T, Luan S: Transcriptional profiling reveals novel interactions between wounding, pathogen, abiotic stress, and hormonal responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 2002, **129**:661-677.
- Salinas-Mondragón RE, Garcidueñas-Piña C, Guzmán P: Early elicitor induction in members of a novel multigene family coding for highly related RING-H2 proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol Biol* 1999, **40**:579-590.
- Aguilar-Henonin L, Bravo J, Guzmán P: Genetic interactions of a putative *Arabidopsis thaliana* ubiquitin-ligase with components of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ubiquitination machinery. *Curr Genet* 2006, **50**:257-268.
- Serrano M, Guzmán P: Isolation and gene expression analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants with constitutive expression of *ATL2*, an early elicitor-response RING-H2 zinc-finger gene. *Genetics* 2004, **167**:919-929.
- Lee JY, Yoo BC, Harmon AC: Kinetic and calcium-binding properties of three calcium-dependent protein kinase isoenzymes from soybean. *Biochemistry* 1998, **37**:6801-6809.

23. Lorenzo O, Chico JM, Sánchez-Serrano JJ, Solano R: **JASMONATE-INSENSITIVE1 encodes a MYC transcription factor essential to discriminate between different jasmonate-regulated defense responses in Arabidopsis.** *Plant Cell* 2004, **16**:1938-1950.
24. Gallagher E, Gao M, Liu YC, Karin M: **Activation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch through a phosphorylation-induced conformational change.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006, **103**:1717-1722.
25. Fujimoto SY, Ohta M, Usui A, Shinshi H, Ohme-Takagi M: **Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression.** *Plant Cell* 2000, **12**:393-404.
26. Solano R, Stepanova A, Chao Q, Ecker JR: **Nuclear events in ethylene signaling: a transcriptional cascade mediated by ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3 and ETHYLENE-RESPONSE-FACTOR1.** *Genes Dev* 1998, **12**:3703-3714.
27. Dombrecht B, Xue GP, Sprague SJ, Kirkegaard JA, Ross JJ, Reid JB, Fitt GP, Sewelam N, Schenk PM, Manners JM, Kazan K: **MYC2 differentially modulates diverse jasmonate-dependent functions in Arabidopsis.** *Plant Cell* 2007, **19**:2225-2245.
28. Swindell WR, Huebner M, Weber AP: **Transcriptional profiling of Arabidopsis heat shock proteins and transcription factors reveals extensive overlap between heat and non-heat stress response pathways.** *BMC Genomics* 2007, **8**:125.
29. Guo J, Wu J, Ji Q, Wang C, Luo L, Yuan Y, Wang Y, Wang J: **Genome-wide analysis of heat shock transcription factor families in rice and Arabidopsis.** *J Genet Genomics* 2008, **35**:105-118.
30. Kumar M, Busch W, Birke H, Kemmerling B, Nürnberger T, Schöffl F: **Heat shock factors HsfB1 and HsfB2b are involved in the regulation of Pdf1.2 expression and pathogen resistance in Arabidopsis.** *Mol Plant* 2009, **2**:152-165.
31. von Koskull-Döring P, Scharf KD, Nover L: **The diversity of plant heat stress transcription factors.** *Trends Plant Sci* 2007, **12**:452-457.
32. Czarnecka-Verner E, Yuan CX, Scharf KD, Englich G, Gurley WB: **Plants contain a novel multi-member class of heat shock factors without transcriptional activator potential.** *Plant Mol Biol* 2000, **43**:459-471.
33. Czarnecka-Verner E, Pan S, Salem T, Gurley WB: **Plant class B HSFs inhibit transcription and exhibit affinity for TFIIIB and TBP.** *Plant Mol Biol* 2004, **56**:57-75.
34. Bergomaz R, Boppré M: **A simple instant diet for rearing Arctiidae and other moths.** *J Lepidopt Soc* 1986, **40**:131-137.
35. **Preparation of genomic DNA.** In *Short protocols in molecular biology* Edited by: Ausubel FM, Brent R, Kingston RE, Moore DD, Seidman JG, Smith JA, Struhl K. New York: Wiley; 1997:2.10-12.11.
36. Arimura G, Köpke S, Kunert M, Volpe V, David A, Brand P, Dabrowska P, Maffei ME, Boland W: **Effects of feeding Spodoptera littoralis on Lima bean leaves: IV. Diurnal and nocturnal damage differentially initiate plant volatile emission.** *Plant Physiol* 2008, **146**:965-973.
37. Wang L, Halitschke R, Kang JH, Berg A, Harnisch F, Baldwin IT: **Independently silencing two JAR family members impairs levels of trypsin proteinase inhibitors but not nicotine.** *Planta* 2007, **226**:159-167.
38. von Dahl CC, Winz RA, Halitschke R, Kühnemann F, Gase K, Baldwin IT: **Tuning the herbivore-induced ethylene burst: the role of transcript accumulation and ethylene perception in Nicotiana attenuata.** *Plant* 2007, **51**:293-307.
39. Maffei M, Bossi S, Spiteller D, Mithöfer A, Boland W: **Effects of feeding Spodoptera littoralis on lima bean leaves. I. Membrane potentials, intracellular calcium variations, oral secretions, and regurgitate components.** *Plant Physiol* 2004, **134**:1752-1762.
40. Arimura G, Garms S, Maffei M, Bossi S, Schulze B, Leitner M, Mithöfer A, Boland W: **Herbivore-induced terpenoid emission in Medicago truncatula: concerted action of jasmonate, ethylene and calcium signaling.** *Planta* 2008, **227**:453-464.
41. Curtis MD, Grossniklaus U: **A gateway cloning vector set for high-throughput functional analysis of genes in planta.** *Plant Physiol* 2003, **133**:462-469.
42. Sawasaki T, Ogasawara T, Morishita R, Endo Y: **A cell-free protein synthesis system for high-throughput proteomics.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002, **99**:14652-14657.
43. Sawasaki T, Kamura N, Matsunaga S, Saeki M, Tsuchimochi M, Morishita R, Endo Y: **Arabidopsis HY5 protein functions as a DNA-binding tag for purification and functional immobilization of proteins on agarose/DNA microplate.** *FEBS Lett* 2008, **582**:221-228.
44. Masaoka T, Nishi M, Ryo A, Endo Y, Sawasaki T: **The wheat germ cell-free based screening of protein substrates of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II delta.** *FEBS Lett* 2008, **582**:1795-1801.
45. Zuo J, Niu QW, Chua NH: **Technical advance: An estrogen receptor-based transactivator XVE mediates highly inducible gene expression in transgenic plants.** *Plant J* 2000, **24**:265-273.
46. Hellens RP, Edwards EA, Leyland NR, Bean S, Mullineaux PM: **pGreen: a versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation.** *Plant Mol Biol* 2000, **42**:819-832.
47. Kobayashi M, Ohura I, Kawakita K, Yokota N, Fujiwara M, Shimamoto K, Doke N, Yoshioka H: **Calcium-dependent protein kinases regulate the production of reactive oxygen species by potato NADPH oxidase.** *Plant Cell* 2007, **19**:1065-1080.
48. Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW: **GUS fusions: beta-glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants.** *Embo* 1987, **6**:3901-3907.

doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-97

**Cite this article as:** Kanchiswamy et al., Regulation of Arabidopsis defense responses against Spodoptera littoralis by CPK-mediated calcium signaling *BMC Plant Biology* 2010, **10**:97

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

